BMJ 2012;344:e2851 doi: 10.1136/bmj.e2851 (Published 19 April 2012)

VIEWS & REVIEWS

REVIEW

Can incarceration be thought of as disease?

It's fashionable to treat social problems as if they were diseases. **Stephen Ginn** reflects on a book that considers an epidemiological solution to the huge and rapidly rising prison population in the United States

Stephen Ginn Roger Robinson editorial registrar, BMJ

A Plague of Prisons

By Ernest Drucker

The New Press; £20; 272 pages

ISBN 978-1595584977

Rating: ***

Among its many marvels, some things about the United States of America are stubbornly unfathomable. The persistent, widespread opposition to socialised medicine is one of them. And despite a murder rate impressive for all the wrong reasons, US gun laws remain unreformed.

Add to this America's prisons. This is not an area in which the United Kingdom basks in glory, but the American dedication to incarcerating its citizens remains without rival. "If this population had their own city, it would be the second largest in the country," dryly remarks author Ernest Drucker.

The numbers tell the story: of a population of 310 million, 7.3 million people are under the control of the US criminal justice system. Of these, 2.3 million are imprisoned, 800 000 are on parole, and 4.2 million are on probation. The US has 5% of the world's people but 25% of its prisoners. This section of the US population grew fivefold between 1970 and 2009.

Drucker, an epidemiologist, sees this increase as a plague and amenable to examination using the tools of his trade. Although imprisonment is not usually considered a disease, this framing isn't meant to be metaphorical. The American fondness for imprisoning its citizens meets all the key criteria for an epidemic: its growth rate is rapid, its scale large, and it shows self sustaining properties.

During London's 1854 outbreak of cholera, John Snow's insight famously led to the removal of the handle of the Broad Street water pump. Soho's residents could no longer drink its contaminated water. What is the pump filling America's prisons, and is it possible for the handle to be removed? Drucker shows how in one state—New York—the rate of incarceration clearly surged from the 1970s. This coincides with the introduction of the state's so called Rockefeller drug laws: punitive legislation

introduced in response to a rise in heroin use in the 1960s. These laws made it possible for those caught in possession of even small amounts of illegal drugs to receive the same sentences as imposed for violent crime. Similar legislation would be enacted throughout the country.

Most of New York City's prison population comes from just six neighbourhoods. This echoes the distribution of deaths on the *Titanic*, which reveal the rigid social structure of the Edwardian era. On the *Titanic*, those in the highest social class were more than twice as likely to survive as those in the lowest social class. In New York some areas are plunged into near anarchy by the so called war on drugs being waged on their streets, while others are almost untouched.

Incarceration also causes disability, just like disease, and is passed on to future generations, just like disease. The children of families where a member is incarcerated have a lower life expectancy and are six to seven times more likely to go to prison themselves.

The notion of applying an analysis to social problems that is more conventionally used to understand disease has gained recent cultural currency. *The Interrupters*, a 2011 feature length documentary, focused on CeaseFire, a Chicago antiviolence programme that deploys street workers as mediators between factions during incipient street conflict. It was founded by Gary Slutkin, another US epidemiologist, who considers violence to be primarily a public health issue. Slutkin has publicly encouraged David Cameron to adopt CeaseFire's approach in London.

Something must be done about prisons, but is this the way ahead? Labelling people as victims of a plague has never been a good way to rehabilitate them back into society. No matter how neatly it may fit a disease model, bringing epidemiological theory to bear on the problem of prisons reframes that problem as something dispassionate and treatable, when in fact it is intensely political. Drug laws may be America's prison pump but behind those laws lies the willingness of lawmakers and politicians to treat marginalised groups and their problems within a punitive criminal justice framework. If drug laws are reformed

VIEWS & REVIEWS

then opprobrium for other misdemeanours may take their place. Some US schools now use police to enforce school discipline, for example, and increasing numbers of children are being convicted via this route.

This criticism is unacknowledged by Drucker, but to his credit, the public health response he offers to high levels of incarceration is more radical than might be expected. It's no surprise that he writes that, as primary prevention, drug laws like the Rockefeller laws have to go. Secondary prevention involves prison reform. But as tertiary prevention, and to address the "great task of healing to be done on both sides of crime and punishment," he proposes a programme of restorative justice in a shape of a formal peace process, not unlike South Africa's Truth and Reconciliation Commission.

In a time when public inquiries are not in short supply, it's easy to be cynical about such a suggestion, as it is about Drucker's approach in general. But this book is accessible and persuasive. Prisons on both sides of the Atlantic represent an immense waste of human potential and financial resources. The questions of what to do about them need to be asked more often. This analysis has much relevance beyond US borders; British incarceration rates are lower, but the UK has one of the highest rates of imprisonment in Europe. Successive recent governments have presided over a steadily increasing UK prison population that has doubled in 20 years.

Competing interests: None declared.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Cite this as: BMJ 2012:344:e2851

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2012